

# WHY THE CONTINENTAL ELITE IS OBSESSED WITH FEDERALISING EUROPE.

1. The Continental Political Elite (CPE) are obsessed with federalising Europe.
2. The whole history of the EU has been one of creeping federalisation ever since the end of WWII, and symbolised by Jean Monnet's comment in a letter to a friend in 1952:  
  
**"Europe's nations should be led towards a superstate, without their people understanding what is happening."** – Jean Monnet (President ECSC 52-55)
3. This obsession has pushed them into adopting a **"The ends justify the means."** strategy whereby the people(s) of Europe have NEVER been given a choice about this.
4. This is supremely ironical: the CPE in its determination to federalise Europe has adopted the quasi-fascist strategy of forcing on people something for which they have NEVER voted.
5. For THIS REASON ALONE, the federalisation of Europe is totally unacceptable to those who believe in democracy. If the case for federalisation is not strong enough to convince the peoples of Europe, then it does not deserve to happen.

## WHY this Federalising Obsession?

- Europe has been evolved through a long succession of wars, culminating in WWs I & II.
- The Continent (including Britain) was devastated by the last two World Wars.
- The CPE is convinced that only a federal Europe can prevent future wars within the continent.
- This is a valid argument, but one that:
  - a) ..... is NOT shared by everyone
  - b) .... has NEVER been electorally mandated by ANYone.

Why did we have WWs I & II?

A) WWI was started for nonsensical reasons:

- 1) What on Earth did Germany really have against France? There were no real reasons for war at all, but .....
- 2) Germany was led by a militaristic dictatorship.
- 3) The actual war began partly by accident through mutual fear, and after a long armaments build-up.
- 4) Had Germany been a democracy at the time, THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN NO WAR. Democracies do not start wars.  
(IRAQ is a red-herring: Sadaam Hussein had effectively launched war against his own people - AND of course Kuwait.)

B) WWII was made possibly by the victors' short-sighted policy of punishing Germany through "reparations". After WWII, the lesson was learned, and the US poured BILLION into Europe to avoid a repeat of the economic collapse in the 20s and simultaneously create strong democracies in Europe.

However, despite the immediate and practical reasons for the start of both wars, the underlying cause was the LACK OF DEMOCRACY. German democracy in the 20s was not strong enough to resist the economic ruin caused by WWI and subsequent reparations, which led to an extremism which nothing internal could resist. However, with supreme irony, the CPE is attempting to force on Europe their own version of democracy by adopting undemocratic means.

It is a personal opinion, but for me the CPE federalist argument is NONSENSE:

- There WOULD be no wars if states were fully democratic.
- The best democracies are those which allow the MAXIMUM participation of the plebs; even democratic leaders are not always to be trusted.
- The avoidance of war would be even MORE certain if only MOTHERS were able to vote in a referendum on whether to go to war. In both World Wars in the 20th century, THE PLEBS HAD NO CHOICE.
- This is NOT the situation today, when we HAVE strong democracies. (This has to be a question of debate in Germany, which currently has a grand coalition of the two major parties and NO effective opposition in parliament.) Moreover,

the plebs are VASTLY better informed than 100 years ago - and we collectively usually DO know better than our leaders.

- The wars were NOTHING to do with “nationalism”, only with a LACK OF DEMOCRACY. Switzerland is a national state, but when did Switzerland last start a war? The fact that it is small and very democratic is not a coincidence.
- ALL states may make a mistake, but BIG states make BIG mistakes, especially if they are not fully democratic.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Homo Sapiens may perhaps one day all belong to one “country” ruled by a World Government.
- If that is to be, it must EVOLVE and not be IMPOSED by any group of “Illuminati” or other unelected and unmandated group (the EU for example).
- My personal view is that a World Government could easily turn into an Orwellian nightmare, since those with power would only seek to increase this, which would lead to power moving ever further away from the plebs, who would eventually return to the status of serfs. (The rich-poor gap is increasing everywhere: the power of the superrich especially so)
- In the US and Europe, plebs are currently RESISTING this growing power of the elite by increasingly voting for anti-PC, anti-establishment leaders: many plebs NO LONGER trust the CPE to do what is right. Do you?
- Do you think the German people would EVER have voted to accept millions of Muslims into their country if given the choice? And this has NOTHING to do with “racism”, but sheer commonsense.
- There is absolutely NO reason not to maintain democratic nation states, which can and will continue to collaborate and cooperate, including in defense as long as dictatorships flourish as they do. The danger we face is from DICTATORSHIPS, and in this context, ISLAM is ALSO a dictatorship.
- For many Brits, the idea of a European federation ruled from Brussels, dominated by Germany and with French bureaucracy is impossible to accept. We do not want it. If the PEOPLE voted for it, then fine, but the CPE will NEVER allow the people to vote, which is why there is such chaos at present and why the whole thing is going to collapse. It just IS not right or possible to FORCE federalisation onto people. This is the ANTITHESIS of democracy - and it is pointless for the reasons started above.
- Europe is now DEMOCRATIC. There is NO reason to suppose that there will ever be a major war in Europe again.  
**Democracies DO NOT START WARS.**

AND: It is nonsense to base the entire political strategy of an entire continent on the experience (of course traumatic) of two world wars launched by dictatorships. WITHOUT dictatorships, THERE ARE NO WARS. What is needed in Europe is to ELIMINATE dictatorships, including power elites and the superrich. This means strengthening democracy which in turn means giving MORE SAY to the PEOPLE as a whole.

My prediction: The next war in Europe will come when Brussels sends Imperial troops to put down a rebellion in a distant part of the empire: Barcelona is a good bet. I personally prefer the Swiss model: democratic and independent, vote on everything, mind your own business, start no trouble and remain small. The greatest risk today is from dictatorships: Iran, China, Russia and NK - and of course ISLAM.

**The British as a whole will NEVER accept the doctrine of the CPE as typified by the following:**

- 2011: MR. SCHÄUBLE said the German government would propose treaty changes at the summit of European leaders in Brussels on Dec. 9 that would move Europe closer to the centralized fiscal government that the currency zone has lacked. The ultimate goal, Mr. Schäuble says, is a political union with a European president directly elected by the people. **“What we’re now doing with the fiscal union, what I’m describing here, is a short-term step for the currency,”** Mr. Schäuble said. **“In a larger context, naturally we need a political union.”** He sees the turmoil as not an obstacle but a necessity. **“We can only achieve a political union if we have a crisis,”** Mr. Schäuble said. *(ed: Not ONE EUROPEAN CITIZEN has EVER voted for this.)*
- 2010: **“Decisions taken by the most democratic institutions in the world are very often wrong.”** – Jose-Manuel Barroso (President of European Commission 2004-2014)
- 2007: EU Commission President Jose Manuel Barroso, rejoicing in the “success” of his Revised Constitution, hailed the EU as **“the creation of an Empire”**, adding **“We have the dimensions of Empire”**.
- 2005: **“Politicians should have the courage to take decisions against the will of the people.”** – Klaus Kinkel (German Foreign Minister 92-98) *(NO, Herr Kinkel - the people are sovereign)*